

Volume 5 • 2011 • Number 3-4 (17-18)

James Allan Matte* Matte Polygraph Service, Inc. Williamsville, New York USA

Effect of Habituation to Least Threatening Zone Questions on the Most Threatening Zone Comparison Questions in Psychophysiological Veracity Examinations

During the past 39 years of conducting psychophysiological veracity (PV) examinations, this author observed a phenomenon wherein the responsivity of the confirmed deceptive and truthful examinees remained constant and often increased with each chart collected on the relevant questions if deceptive or the control questions if truthful.

This author suspected that the reason for this occurrence was due to the truthful examinee's habituation to the relevant questions and the deceptive examinee's habituation to the control questions, as a result of their psychological set being focused on the tests questions having the greatest threat to their security.

^{*} JamesAllanMatte@mattepolygraph.com

This empirical observation was based on charts collected from the administration of the Quadri-Track Zone Comparison Technique, a single-issue test that clearly separates the relevant questions (Red Zone) dealing with a single-issue from the control questions (Green Zone) embracing earlier-in-life experiences with the use of non-current exclusive control questions that employ time bars that enable the "Either-Or" rule. In essence, the examinee is presented with two threats, the red zone questions and the green zone questions from which he/she must choose which of those two threats offer the greatest threat to his/her well-being, thus creating a double-bind effect (Bateson, et al, 1956), and this is determined and discovered from the physiological data collected from the examinee during the presentation of those two threats.

This empirical observation prompted this author to review and examine the raw data acquired in a field study (Matte-Reuss, 1989) comprising 122 confirmed real-life cases that used the Quadri-Track Zone Comparison Technique where the scores for each chart collected were recorded and reported.

There were 62 confirmed Deception Indicated (DI) cases, 53 confirmed No Deception Indicated (NDI), and 7 Inconclusives.

Results

Of the 62 confirmed DI cases, 39 cases (62.9%) had an average greater score for charts succeeding the first chart (Chart #1), and 4 cases (6.4%) had average equal scores for charts succeeding the first chart. There were 10 cases (16.1%) where a fourth chart was collected. Five of those cases (50%) had greater scores than the first chart collected. Raw data available in Appendix A.

The scores for each chart collected were tallied and divided by the number of cases to obtain the average score for charts number 1 thru 4. The results are as follows:

Deception Indicated	CHART #1	CHART #2	CHART #3	CHART #4
Total Score:	-516 (n.62)	-617 (n.62)	-387 (n.42)	-83 (n.9)
Average Score:	-8.32	-9.95	-9.21	-9.22

Of the 53 confirmed NDI cases, 23 cases (43.3%) had an average greater score for charts succeeding the first chart, and 7 cases (13.2%) had average equal

scores for charts succeeding the first chart. There were three cases (5.6%) where a fourth chart was collected. Two of those cases (66.6%) had greater scores than the first chart collected and one of those cases (33.3%) had scores equal to the first chart collected.

No Deception Indicated CHART #1 CHART #2 CHART #3 CHART #4

Total Score:	+355 (n.53)	+301 (n.53)	+80 (n.10)	+26 (n.3)
Average Score:	+6.6	+5.6	+8.0	+8.6

Discussion

The data for deceptive cases clearly indicate a lack of habituation to the relevant test questions throughout the collection of the four charts. Indeed the scores from charts 2 through 4 are higher than chart 1 indicating increased responsivity to the relevant questions, which may be due to habituation to the control questions.

The data for the truthful cases indicate a slight score decrease in Chart #2 (+5.6) versus Chart #1 (+6.6), but this is followed by Chart #3 with +8.0 and Chart #4 with +8.6 indicating an overall increase in responsivity to the control questions versus the relevant questions. It is recognized that the number of charts available in Charts #3 and #4 for NDI were small, and additional field research needs to be conducted. It must be noted that this data was collected from a true single-issue zone comparison technique where, unlike multiple-issue tests, the examinee is confronted with only two distinctly separate threats which permits one threat to dampen the other thus creating a double-bind effect that can result in eventual habituation to the least threatening questions.

The implications from this data are that polygraphists should be receptive to the collection of additional charts beyond the customary three-charts when confronted with an inconclusive result, especially when using a single-issue polygraph technique that employs an increasing score threshold with each chart collected rather than a fixed score threshold that does not increase with each chart collected. The data further supports the Quadri-Track Zone Comparison Technique's increasing score threshold, which multiplies its initial scoring threshold with the collection of each subsequent chart, clearly showing that its increasing score threshold does not contribute to inconclusive results. Published field studies by Matte-Reuss 1989; Mangan, et al 2008; Shurany, et

al 2009, comprising a total of 319 subjects reported a combined inconclusive rate of only 2.2 percent.

Notes

- [1] The term "control" question has been replaced with the term "comparison" to conform to the scientific literature. Nevertheless, in this study the term "control" is still used to avoid duplication of the term comparison in succession which could cause confusion, such as comparison of the comparison versus relevant questions.
- [2] The "Either-Or" Rule is unique to the Backster ZCT and the Quadri-Track ZCT. Research by Meiron, et al 2008 showed that the "Either-Or) rule was an essential element of the Backster ZCT and its high accuracy. For a full explanation of the "Either-Or" Rule, see Matte, 1996; Mangan, et al 2008; and Shurany, et al 2009.
- [3] Double-bind: A situation in which a person must choose between equally unsatisfactory alternatives; a punishing and inescapable dilemma. American Heritage Dictionary.
- [4] The Quadri-Track ZCT employs the following increasing score threshold: Chart 1, -5 DI, +3 NDI; Chart 2, -10 DI, +6 NDI; Chart 3, -15 DI, +9 NDI; Chart 4, -20 DI, +12 NDI. A minimum of 2 charts must be collected in order to render a decision of Truth or Deception. Scores below the indicated threshold fall into the inconclusive category.

Appendix Page No. 06/17/89 TABLE 4 POLYGRAPH GRANDSCORE WITH ZONE 4 LISTED BY RANK SCORES NUM CASE CONF CONC C13 C23 C33 C43 GS23 -9 -17 -19 -45 43 M11 DI DI DI -1 - 17-9 -18 -45 87 M53 DI 118 M70A DI -15 -13 -5 -11 -44 DI -9 -38 L7A2 DI DI -18 - 11-10 -14 -13 DI DI 57 M23 47 M13B DI -11 -11 -14 -36 DI -10 -12 -13 -35 1 AlA DI DI -8 -15 -12 -35 112 M67A DI DI 60 M26 -8 -10 -5 -11 -34 DI DI 114 M68A DI DI -13 -7 - 14-34 -10 -11 -12 -33 75 M41 DI DI -3 -17 -12 -32 14 A12A DI DI -32 -12 - 1193 M56 DI DI -9 DI -17 - 14-31 15 A13A DI DI -9 -3 -12 -31 92 M55B DI -7 -31 107 M64A DI DI -13 -11 DI -5 -3 -10 -12 -30 72 M38 DI DI -9 -12 -9 -30 89 M54B DI DI -10 -1 -19 -30 98 M59A DI -8 -13 -30 113 M67B DI DI -9 -9 -9 -12 -30 DI 119 M70B DI DI -4 -9 0 -16 -29 61 M27 DI -2 -1 -28 34 M2 DI DI -12 - 13111 M66 -7 -9 -28 DI DI . -12 91 M55A DI DI -9 -11 -5 -2 -27 95 M57B DI DI -15 -12 -27 -26 -15 -11 40 M8 DI DI -4 -11 -26 77 DI -11 M43 DI 121 M71B DI DI -9 -17 -26 -9 -25 46 M13A DI DI -6 -10 110 M65B DI DI -10 -8 -7 -25 41 M9 DI DI -12 -12 -24 -9 -13 -24 115 M68B DI DI -2 32 A26B DI DI -12 - 11-23 103 M62A DI DI -10 -13 -23 106 M63B DI DI -9 -14 -23 -7 -6 -10 -23 117 M69B DI DI 122 M71C DI DI -16 -7 -23 73 H39 DI DI -11 -11 -22 0 -12 88 M54A DI -10 -22 DI 99 M59B DI DI -9 -13 -22 -4 -8 -10 -22 100 M60 DI DI -6 -15 -21 30 A25 DI DI DI -9 -12 -21 104 M62B DI -11 -10 -21 105 M63A DI DI -21 120 M71A DI DI -3 -12 -6 31 A26A DI DI -10 -9 -1 -20 -1 -4 -10 -5 -20 33 M1 DI DI -9 -11 -20 90 M54C DI DI -20 94 M57A DI DI -7 -6 -7

Page No. 3

TABLE 4 POLYGRAPH GRANDSCO ZONE 4 LISTED BY RANK SC

NUM CASE CONF CONC C13 C23 C33 C43 GS23

```
2 -10 -11
                                      -19
101 M61A DI
              DI.
                                     -19
109 M65A DI
              DI
                     -6 -7 -6
                                      -18
 11 A10B DI
              DI
                     -3 -15
                                      -18
                     -8 -6 -4
 16 A14A DI
              DI
                                      -18
                     -8 -10
              DI
20 A17 DI
                                      -18
108 M64B DI
               DI
                     -7 - 11
                                      -15
                     -8
                         2 -9
  5 ASA2 DI
              DI
                     -8 -4 0
                                      -15
                                  -3
  8 L8A3 NDI
              INC
                         1 -12
                                      -15
                     -4
 10 A10A DI
               DI
                        -4 -7
                                      -15
 28 A23B DI
               DI
                     -4
                    -5 -8
-5 -8
                     -7 -8
                                      -15
 42 H10
         DI
               DI
                                      -13
 96 M58A DI
               DI
                                      -13
102 M61B DI
               DI
                         2 -5
                     -7
                                      -10
 13 A11B DI
               INC
                     3 5
                                         0
                         -3
 97 M58B NDI
              INC
                                         2
                         -2
                             -1
116 M69A DI
               INC
                                         3
                          3
               INC
                     -4
                              4
 74 M40
         NDI
                          2
                                         5
               INC
                      3
 86 M52
          NDI
                                         6
               INC
                      3
                         -1
  9 A9A
          NDI
                                         8
               NDI
                     -1
                          9
 18 A 15B NDI
                          2
                                         8
                      6
 25 A21B NDI
               NDI
                                         8
                      7
                          1
 29 A24
          NDI
               NDI
                                         8
                      4
                          4
 35 M3
          NDI
               NDI
                                         8
 36 M4
                      4
                          4
          NDI
               NDI
                                         8
                      4
                          4
 39 M7
          NDI
               NDI
                                         8
                       6
                          2
 49 M15
          NDI
               NDI
                                         8
                       0
                          8
 50 M16
          NDI
               NDI
                       3
                           5
                                         8
 53 M19
          NDI
               NDI
                                         8
                       6
                           2
 55 M21
          NDI
               NDI
                      -2
                          10
 71 M37
          NDI
               NDI
                      8
                                         8
                          0
 84 M50
          NDI
               NDI
                                         9
                      12
                         -3
 59 M25
          NDI
               NDI
                          7
                                         9
          NDI
               NDI
                      2
 82 M48
                                        11
               NDI
                      8
                          3
  4 A4A1 NDI
                      5
                          6
                                        11
  12 AllA NDI
               NDI
 63 M29
               NDI
                      8
                          3
                                        11
          NDI
                          0
                                        11
  66 M32
               NDI
                      11
          NDI
               NDI
                       8
                          3
                                        11
  83 H49
          NDI
                      7
                          5
                                        12
                NDI
  52 M18
          NDI
                      2
                          -5
                               15
                                        12
  79 M45
          NDI
                NDI
                NDI
                       6
                          6
                                        12
  80 M46
          NDI
                                        13
                NDI
                       4
   2 AZA1 NDI
                                        13
   3 A3A2 NDI
                          3
                NDI
                      10
                          7
                NDI
                       0
                               6
                                         13
  22 A19
          NDI
                                         13
                                5
                       3
                          0
                NDI
  48 H14
          NDI
                           7
                                         13
  81 M47
          NDI
                NDI
                       6
                      10
                                         14
                           4
                NDI
  38 M6
          NDI
                          13
                                         14
          NDI
                NDI
                       1
  64 M30
                       7
                           7
                                         14
  69 M35
                NDI
          NDI
                                         14
  70 M36
          NDI
                NDI
                       9
                           5
```

Page No. 06/17/89

3

TABLE 4 POLYGRAPH GRANDSCORE WITH ZONE 4 LISTED BY RANK SCORES

NUM CASE CONF CONC C13 C23 C33 C43 GS23

	78	M44	NDI	NDI	7	7			14	
	51	H17	NDI	NDI	7	8			15	
	76	M42	NDI	NDI	2	13			15	
	54	M20	NDI	NDI	7	9			16	
	85	M51	NDI	NDI	8	8			16	
	27	A23A	NDI	NDI	6	11			17	
	44	M12A	NDI	NDI	-2		12		17	
	45	M12B	NDI	NDI	7				17	
	19	A16	NDI	NDI	14	4			18	
	23	A20	NDI	NDI	12				18	
	67	M33	NDI	NDI	5				18	
	17	A15A	NDI	NDI	9				19	
	26	A22	NDI	NDI	13	6			19	
	58	M24	NDI	NDI	12				20	
	68	M34	NDI	NDI	9				21	
	6	L6A1	NDI	NDI	8		5	11	23	
	21	A18	NDI	NDI	8	8	7		23	
	24	A21A	NDI	NDI	1				24	
	37	M5	NDI	NDI	10		4	10	24	
	56	M22	NDI	NDI	11		11		25	
	65	M31	NDI	NDI	18				28	
	62	M28	NDI	NDI	20	10			30	
*	* '	Total	***						(TOTAL	
					***	***	***	-60	-847	
									The state of the s	

References

Bateson G., Jackson D.D., Haley J., Weak-Land J. (1956), Toward a theory of schizophrenia, Behavioral Science, 1: 251–254.

Mangan D.J., Armitage T.E., Adams G.C. (2008), A field study on the validity of the Quadri-Track Zone Comparison Technique, Physiology & Behavior, 95 (1–2): 17–23.

Matte J.A. (1996), Forensic Psychophysiology Using the Polygraph: Scientific Truth Verification – Lie Detection, Williamsville, New York: J.A.M. Publications.

Matte J.A. (2010), A field study of the Backster Zone Comparison Technique's Either-Or rule and scoring system versus two other scoring systems when relevant question elicits strong response, European Polygraph, 4 (2–12), 53–70.

Matte J.A., Reuss R.E. (1989), Validation study on the polygraph Quadri-Zone Comparison Technique, Research Dissertation Abstract, LD 01452: 1502, Proquest Information and Learning (Formerly known as University Microfilm International).

Meiron E., Kapohl D., Ashkenazi T. (2008), An assessment of the Backster "Either-Or" rule in polygraph scoring, Polygraph, 37 (4): 240–249.

Shurany T., Stein E., Brand E. (2009), A field study on the validity of the Quadri-Track Zone Comparison Technique, European Polygraph, 1 (1-7): 5-23.